1

2

3

5

7

6

8 v.

10 11

9

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

LORRIE McCONNELL,

Plaintiff,

PETER WOODS, et al.,

Defendants.

2:06-cv-00901-LRH-LRL

REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

Lorrie McConnell is suing various individuals over claims arising out of alleged forgery, fraud, extortion, stalking, and "intent to make look crazy." Acting *pro se*, plaintiff filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and Complaint on July 24, 2006 (#1). The undersigned United States Magistrate Judge has not ruled on her Motion, and instead submits this Report and Recommendation.

BACKGROUND

McConnell has filed four separate actions involving the same defendants and facts, with slight variations, over the past year.¹ She has sought to proceed *in forma pauperis* in each instance.

DISCUSSION

Because plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, this court must review the instant action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 27 (1992). Upon review the court must dismiss a case at any time if it determines that the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune

 $^{^1}$ 2:05-cv-00538-RLH-PAL closed May 5, 2005; 2:05-cv-00996-BES-LRL, R&R to dismiss pending; 2:05-cv-01019-JCM-GWF closed November 23, 2005; and 2:05-cv-01024-JCM-GWF pending.

26 .

from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

A complaint, or portion thereof, should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted "if it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claims that would entitle him to relief." *Buckey v. Los Angeles*, 968 F.2d 791, 794 (9th Cir. 1992). A complaint may be dismissed as frivolous if it is premised on a nonexistent legal interest or delusional factual scenario. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 329–30 (1989). Moreover, "a court may dismiss as frivolous complaints reciting bare legal conclusions with no suggestion of supporting facts, or postulating events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind." *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1226 (9th Cir. 1984).

Pleadings prepared by *pro se* litigants should be held to less stringent standards and thus be liberally construed. *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972) (*per curiam*); *see also King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1986). However, "[p]ro se litigants must [still] follow the same rules of procedure as other litigants." *King*, 814 F.2d at 567 (*citations omitted*). In determining whether a plaintiff has satisfied Rule 12(b)(6), all material allegations in the complaint are accepted as true and are construed in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. *See Russell v. Landrieu*, 621 F.2d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 1980) (*citations omitted*).

Despite previous dismissals, McConnell, undaunted, continues to make the same frivolous allegations against the same defendants. McConnell's Complaint is disorganized, and consists mostly of stream-of-consciousness writings. These writings are filled with delusional allegations that she was kidnapped by terrorists and the Charles Manson gang; her thirteen children were murdered and no police investigation followed; her identity has been stolen; the police are not using her correct fingerprints; and she has given evidence that was covered in blood to the U.S. Marshal Service. These allegations are "wholly fanciful" and therefore frivolous. *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d at 1226.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is the recommendation of the undersigned United States

Magistrate Judge that plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (# 1) be denied and the accompanying Complaint (# 1) be dismissed with prejudice.

DATED this 18th day of August, 2006.

LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE